

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
12/12/2001	Frances J.R. Richmond	20441-15	3746
0 09/01/2004		EXAM	INER
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY (LOS ANGELES OFFICE) 2049 CENTURY PARK EAST 34TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-3208		MANUEL, GEORGE C	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3762	
	12/12/2001 0 09/01/2004 T, WILL & EMERY (L Y PARK EAST	12/12/2001 Frances J.R. Richmond 0 09/01/2004 T, WILL & EMERY (LOS ANGELES OFFICE) Y PARK EAST	12/12/2001 Frances J.R. Richmond 20441-15 0 09/01/2004 EXAM T, WILL & EMERY (LOS ANGELES OFFICE) 7 PARK EAST ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/022,562	RICHMOND ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	George Manuel	3762 -		
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT!  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of third period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	`			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 July 2004.			
	<del></del>			
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	•	• •		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 30-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	_			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/022,562

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de Medinaçeli '561.

De Medinaceli shows all of the claimed features except for applying a nonpulsatile electrical field.

Borgens et al teaches applying a non-pulsatile electrical field for repairing or growing a nerve. See Fig. 1.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to apply the teaching of directional nerve regeneration to the device of de Medinaceli because the electrical field generation disclosed in Borgens et al provides a train of positive and negative current stimulation fields which provide for an equivalent nerve regeneration for the

Art Unit: 3762

"electrical pulses" suggested to be used in the de Medinaceli device. De Medinaceli teaches maintaining electrical conductivity in the nerve with chambers 22 and 23 being maintained in contacting juxtaposition throughout a period of about 5 hours. See col. 10, lines 10-20.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide the electrical field for a sufficient length of time after effecting nerve repair because de Medinaceli teaches upon removing the chambers the nerve is subject to breakage and providing additional time after effecting nerve repair will further assure structural integrity to the nerve.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-29 are allowed.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (703) 308-2118.

George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762

8/30/04